arXiv:cond-mat/0304639v1 [cond-mat.soft] 28 Apr 2003

Viscoelasticity in normal ^{3}He as a consequence of the Landau theory of normal Fermi liquids

Isadore Rudnick* and Joseph Rudnick
Department of Physics and Astronomy University of California Los Angeles Los Angeles CA 90095

(Dated: February 6, 2008)

We show that a viscoelastic dispersion relation for shear waves in normal ^{3}He at low temperatures follows directly from the Landau theory of normal Fermi liquids. This theoretical result is in accord with the experimental observations of propagating high frequency shear waves that identify normal ^{3}He as a viscoelastic substance.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Ay,46.35.+z

A viscoelastic substance has high frequency properties that are characteristic of a solid an at low frequency the properties of a viscous fluid. The best known example is Silly Putty©. At very low temperatures, ^{3}He in its normal state also has these properties [1]. Somewhat surprisingly it was only recognized to be a viscoelastic substance several years after the development of the Landau theory of normal Fermi systems [2]. ^{3}He is also a remarkable viscoelastic liquid. Ordinary liquids exhibit viscoelasticity that is far from simple. Both shear and bulk moduli relax, and a spectrum of relaxation times is commonly observed [3]. A viscoelastic substance in which only the shear modulus relaxes, with a single relaxation time, is called an ideal viscoelastic substance. Based on experiments with longitudinal sound, ^{3}He appears to be an ideal viscoelastic substance, and is, furthermore, the *only known example* of such a substance. It has been shown on theoretical grounds [4] that this is true to a "reasonable first approximation." However, it is also found in in [4] that ideal viscoelastic behavior is "only a crude first approximation for *transverse* sound," and that the ideal "viscoelastic model does not give quantitatively reliable results." Special importance is attached to the fact that the transverse sound velocity is less than or equal to the Fermi velocity, and it is inferred that this interferes with the validity of the viscoelastic interpretation of transverse zero sound.

The purpose of this note is to show that Fermi liquid theory can be used to derive the general viscoelastic equations for transverse zero sound exactly, independently of the relative magnitudes of the transverse sound velocity and the Fermi velocity. Thus, while ^{3}He may not be an ideal viscoelastic substance for transverse sound, nevertheless it is a viscoelastic substance.

What we are able to do is show that the Landau theory leads to a dispersion relation for transverse zero sound that is *exactly* as given by a viscoelastic model. This dispersion relation is

$$\left(\frac{\omega}{q}\right)^2 = \frac{\mu_\perp(q,\omega)}{\rho} \tag{1}$$

where ω and q are the frequency and wave vector of the transverse mode, ρ is the mass density and $\mu_\perp(q,\omega)$ is the transverse modulus, i. e.

$$\Pi_{xz} = \mu_\perp\left(\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial x}\right) \tag{2}$$

where Π_{xz} is an off-diagonal element of the stress tensor and $(\partial u_x/\partial z + \partial u_z/\partial x)$ is the corresponding elemetnt of the strain tensor. The dependence on q of $\mu_\perp$ arises from its non-locality in real space.

In the remainder of this note, we obtain from the Landau theory of normal Fermi liquids a general expression for $\mu_\perp(q,\omega)$. We then derive a closed-form dispersion relation for propagating transverse modes, valid for all frequencies and relaxation times. Finally, we show that this dispersion relation can be cast in the form (1). This establishes the viscoelastic model of transverse zero sound propagation as a rigorous outcome of Landau theory. We note at the outset that two assumptions are made in obtaining our result. The first is that all Landau parameters F_l with $l \geq 3$ are zero. The second is that all distortions of the Fermi surface decay locally via collisions with a single relaxation time, with the exception of a dilation and a translation, which do not decay via collisions because of particle and momentum conservation.

Small deviations from equilibrium of the quasiparticle distribution function, $n_{\vec{p}}(\vec{x},t)$ will be denoted by $\delta n_{\vec{p}}(\vec{x},t)$, where $\vec{p}$ is the quasiparticle momentum [5]. We assume that the mode has a space and time dependence of the form $e^{i(qz-\omega t)}$. The linearized Landau kinetic equation is, then, in the absence of external forcing [4],

$$\left(-i\omega + iqv_F\cos\theta\right)n_{\vec{p}} + \frac{\partial n^0_{\vec{p}}}{\partial \epsilon_F}\cos\theta\sum_{\vec{p}'} f^s_{\vec{p}\vec{p}'}\delta n_{\vec{p}'} = I\left[n_{\vec{p}}\right] \tag{3}$$

The quantity $f^s_{\vec{p}\vec{p}'}$ is the spin-symmetric quasiparticle interaction energy, $I[n_{\vec{p}}]$ is the collision contribution to the kinetic equation and $n^0_{\vec{p}}$ is the equilibrium distribution. We are interested in transverse modes, so we write

$$\begin{aligned}\delta n_{\vec{p}} &= -\frac{\partial n^0_{\vec{p}}}{\partial \epsilon_{\vec{p}}}\nu_{\vec{p}} \\ &= \frac{N(0)}{p_F^2}\delta(p-p_F)\nu_{\vec{p}}\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where p_F is the Fermi momentum,

$$\nu_{\vec{p}} = B\sin\theta\cos\phi + D\sin\theta\cos\theta\cos\phi + \bar{\nu}_{\vec{p}} \tag{5}$$

and $\bar{\nu}_{\vec{p}}$ consists of the contributions of all higher order, appropriately transverse, spherical harmonics to $\nu_{\vec{p}}$. Inserting (4) and (5) into (3), and adopting a single-relaxation-time model for $I[n_{\vec{p}}]$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[-i\omega + iqv_F\cos\theta\left(1+\frac{F_1}{3}\right)\right]B\sin\theta\cos\phi \\ &+\left[-i\omega + iqv_F\cos\theta\left(1+\frac{F_2}{5}\right)+\frac{1}{\tau}\right]D\sin\theta\cos\theta\cos\phi \\ &+\left[-i\omega + iqv_F\cos\theta + \frac{1}{\tau}\right]\bar{\nu}_{\vec{p}} \ = \ 0 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

We are assuming that $F_l = 0$ when $l \geq 3$. The F_l's are Landau parameters defined in the standard way.

The modulus $\mu_\perp$ can now be obtained from (3) using

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{xz} &= \sum_{\vec{p}} p_x (v_{\vec{p}})_z \delta\bar{n}_{\vec{p}} \\ &= p_F v_F N(0) D\left(1+\frac{F_2}{5}\right)\int \sin^3\theta\cos^2\theta\cos^2\phi \ d\theta \ d\phi \\ &= p_F v_F N(0) D\left(1+\frac{F_2}{5}\right) I_1 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where the last equality should be taken as a definition of I_1. In (7), we used

$$\delta\bar{n}_{\vec{p}} = \delta n_{\vec{p}} - \frac{\partial n^0_{\vec{p}}}{\partial\epsilon_{\vec{p}}}\sum_{\vec{p}'} f^s_{\vec{p}\vec{p}'}\delta n_{\vec{p}'} \tag{8}$$

The strain tensor, $\vec{u}_{xz}$ is calculated using the connection between current density and strain [4]. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial z} &= \frac{qN(0)}{\omega n} v_F B\left(1+\frac{F_1}{3}\right)\int \sin^3\theta\cos^2\phi \ d\theta \ d\phi \\ &= \frac{qN(0)}{\omega n} v_F B\left(1+\frac{F_1}{3}\right) I_2 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The last equality above defines I_2. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\perp &= -\frac{\Pi_{xz}}{\partial u_x/\partial z} \\ &= -\frac{\omega n p_F}{q}\frac{D}{B}\frac{1+\frac{F_2}{5}}{1+\frac{F_1}{3}}\frac{I_1}{I_2} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The quantity n in (9) and (10) is the particle number density.

The ratio D/B in (10) is obtained by noting that $\bar{\nu}_{\vec{p}}$, which, according to (6) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{\nu}_{\vec{p}} \\ &\quad = -\frac{\omega - qv_F\cos\theta\left(1+\frac{F_1}{3}\right)}{\omega - qv_F\cos\theta + \frac{i}{\tau}} B\sin\theta\cos\phi \\ &\quad\quad -\frac{\omega - qv_F\cos\theta\left(1+\frac{F_2}{5}\right)+\frac{i}{\tau}}{\omega - qv_F\cos\theta + \frac{i}{\tau}} D\cos\theta\sin\theta\cos\phi \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

is orthogonal to the spherical harmonic $Y_2^1 \propto \cos\theta\sin\theta\cos\phi$. Thus,

$$\frac{D}{B} = \frac{-\int \frac{\left(\omega - qv_F\cos\theta\left(1+\frac{F_1}{3}\right)\right)\cos\theta\sin^3\theta\cos^2\phi}{\omega - qv_F\cos\theta + \frac{i}{\tau}} d\theta \ d\phi}{\int \frac{\omega - qv_F\cos\theta\left(1+\frac{F_2}{5}\right)+\frac{i}{\tau}}{\omega - qv_F\cos\theta+\frac{i}{\tau}}\cos^2\theta\sin^3\theta\cos^2\phi d\theta \ d\phi} \tag{12}$$

The modulus described by (10) with D/B given by (12) interpolates between a low frequency limit proportional to $\omega\tau/i$ and a finite high frequency limit that is real and positive if $\omega > qv_F$ and complex otherwise. It is thus a viscoelastic modulus.

Equation (11) also provides us with the dispersion relation for a propagating transverse mode. Notice that $\bar{\nu}_{\vec{p}}$ is also orthogonal to $Y_1^1 \propto \sin\theta\cos\phi$. We then obtain the following requirement for a nontrivial solution to the undriven kinetic equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \frac{\omega - qv_F\cos\theta\left(1+\frac{F_1}{3}\right)}{\omega - qv_F\cos\theta + \frac{i}{\tau}}\sin^3\theta\cos^2\phi \ d\theta \ d\phi \\ &= \frac{\int \frac{\left(\omega - qv_F\cos\theta\left(1+\frac{F_1}{3}\right)\right)\cos\theta\sin^3\theta\cos^2\phi \ d\theta \ d\phi}{q - v_F\cos\theta+\frac{i}{\tau}}\int \frac{\left(\omega - qv_F\cos\theta\left(1+\frac{F_2}{5}\right)+\frac{i}{\tau}\right)\cos\theta\sin^3\theta\cos^2\phi \ d\theta d\phi}{\omega - qv_F\cos\theta+\frac{i}{\tau}}}{\int \frac{\omega - qv_F\cos\theta\left(1+\frac{F_2}{5}\right)+\frac{i}{\tau}}{q - v_F\cos\theta+\frac{i}{\tau}}\cos^2\theta\sin^3\theta\cos^2\phi \ d\theta \ d\phi} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

This is a closed-form dispersion relation for undriven transverse modes in a normal Fermi liquid. Its solution interpolates between an overdamped low frequency mode and a propagating high frequency shear wave.

A few further manipulations suffice to reduce this dispersion relation to the desired form (1). First, for the left hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \left(\frac{1}{\omega - q v_F \cos\theta + \frac{i}{\tau}} - \frac{1}{\omega + \frac{i}{\tau}} \right) \left(\omega - q v_F \cos\theta \left(1 + \frac{F_1}{3} \right) \right) \\
&\quad \sin^3\theta \cos^2\phi \; d\theta \; d\phi \\
&\quad + \frac{\omega}{\omega + \frac{i}{\tau}} \int \sin^3\theta \cos^2\phi \; d\phi \; d\theta \\
&= \frac{q v_F}{\omega + \frac{i}{\tau}} \int \frac{\omega - q v_F \cos\theta \left(1 + \frac{F_1}{3}\right)}{\omega - q v_F \cos\theta + \frac{i}{\tau}} \cos\theta \sin^3\theta \cos^2\phi \; d\theta \; d\phi \\
&\quad + \frac{\omega}{\omega + \frac{i}{\tau}} I_2
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Inserting this into (13) we obtain, after some manipulations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega I_2 \;=\; & \left\{ \int \frac{\left(\omega + \frac{i}{\tau}\right) \cos\theta \sin^3\theta \cos^2\phi \left(\omega - q v_F \cos\theta \left(1 + \frac{F_2}{5}\right) + \frac{i}{\tau} \right) d\theta \; d\phi}{\omega - q v_F \cos\theta + \frac{i}{\tau}} \right. \\
& \left. - q v_F \int \frac{\cos^2\theta \sin^3\theta \cos^2\phi \left(\omega - q v_F \cos\theta \left(1 + \frac{F_2}{5}\right) + \frac{i}{\tau} \right) d\theta \; d\phi}{\omega - q v_F \cos\theta + \frac{i}{\tau}} \right\} \\
& \times \left\{ \frac{\int \frac{\omega - q v_F \cos\theta \left(1 + \frac{F_1}{3}\right)}{\omega - q v_F \cos\theta + \frac{i}{\tau}} \cos\theta \sin^3\theta \cos^2\phi \; d\theta \; d\phi}{\int \frac{\omega - q v_F \cos\theta \left(1 + \frac{F_2}{5}\right) + \frac{i}{\tau}}{\omega - q v_F \cos\theta + \frac{i}{\tau}} \cos^2\theta \sin^3\theta \cos^2\phi \; d\theta \; d\phi} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

A few straightforward steps and reference to (9) and (12) yields

$$\omega = q v_F \left(1 + \frac{F_2}{5} \right) \frac{I_1}{I_2} \frac{D}{B} \tag{16}$$

Thus,

$$\left(\frac{\omega}{q} \right)^2 = \frac{\omega v_F}{q} \left(1 + \frac{F_2}{5} \right) \frac{I_1}{I_2} \frac{D}{B} \tag{17}$$

Using (10):

$$\left(\frac{\omega}{q} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \frac{v_F}{p_F} \left(1 + \frac{F_2}{5} \right) \mu_\perp(q, \omega) \tag{18}$$

Finally, since $1 + F_1/3 = m^*/m$ and $v_F = p_F/m^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\omega}{q} \right)^2 &= \frac{1}{mn} \mu_\perp \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho} \mu_\perp
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and the viscoelastic dispersion relation is recovered.

The above result establishes the viscoelastic model for transverse zero sound propagation in normal ^{3}He as an exact consequence, within the assumptions noted above, of the Landau theory of normal Fermi liquids. However, we would like to point out that a propagating shear mode in liquid ^{3}He is, in and of itself, evidence that the substance is viscoelastic. Such a mode occurs in solids and not in liquids. The criticisms base on the Landau theory of normal Fermi liquids have been of the modeling of transverse zero sound as an *ideal* viscoelastic phenomenon. Because the Fermi velocity is greater than the velocity of transverse zero sound we do not expect the spatially local modulus with a single relaxation time that characerizes an ideal viscoelastic substance to apply here. As pointed out earlier, *no* viscoelastic substance has been found to be ideal with the single exception of ^{3}He as a medium for longitudinal mode propagation. That the dispersion relation for transverse modes in ^{3}He does not fit the ideal viscoelastic model is therefore not surprising. In our opinion, viscoelasticity provides an intuitively useful and quantitatively valuable model for zero sound propagation in normal ^{3}He. Furthermore, as we have just seen, it follows directly from Landau theory.

---

[*] Deceased

[1] I. Rudnick, Journal of Low Temperature Physics **40**, 287 (1980).

[2] L. D. Landau, Sov. Phys. JETP **3**, 920 (1957).

[3] K. F. Herzfeld and T. A. Litovitz, *Absorption and dispersion of ultrasonic waves* (Academic Press, New York,, 1959).

[4] K. Bedell and C. J. Pethick, Journal of Low Temperature Physics **49**, 213 (1982).

[5] In the following our notation follows that of [4]. Several results contained therein are also utilized.